

## Identification and Elimination of Yield Gaps in Oil Palm

Speaker: Thomas Fairhurst

### Identification and Elimination of Yield Gaps in Oil Palm

Conferencista: Thomas Fairhurst



### Definition of a 'yield gap'

• **Difference** between **site yield potential** (defined in fertilizer trials, best management practice blocks, literature).

and

- Actual yield.
- Can be measured as yield of fruit bunches or crude palm oil or palm products (crude palm plus kernel oil).
- May be due to different factors:
  - Poor crop recovery.
  - Nutritional deficiencies.
  - Poor agronomic management and pests and diseases.
  - Moisture stress.
- Can be measured at national, company, farm, block, soil type or planting material.

### Yield gap analysis

- Is there a gap between actual yield and site yield potential?
- What are the causes of yield gaps?
- Each yield gap cause requires different remedial measures.
- Make a plan to eliminate identified yield gaps:
  - 'Yield Taking' (Yield Gap 5).
  - 'Yield Making' (Yield Gap 1, 2, 3 and 4).



GREPALMA - C//PAL III

## 'Yield Taking'

#### Getting the crop from the palms to the mill.

Logistics not agronomy. Immediate results.

Yield Gap 5

### Yield Gap 5 due to incomplete crop recovery



### Remedial action on crop recovery

#### Short term results from remedial action:

- 1. Review milling capacity, adequacy of transport system and labour supply, and field drainage (vehicle access).
- 2. Install adequate field access from mill to palm (roads, paths, pruning, drainage).
- 3. Implement tightly controlled ten day harvest intervals with zero crop loss.
- Implement the correct ripeness standard (≥5 loose fruit on the ground before bunch harvest).
- 5. Check fruit quality in field, at the ramp and in the lab.
- 6. Check mill losses.

## 'Yield Making'

#### Putting more fruit bunches onto the palms.

Agronomic skill required. Time lags of ≤4 years between implementation and results. Yield Gap 1, 2, 3 and 4.

### 24–28 months from flower to bunch!

Female flower



#### Fruit bunch



# There are significant time lags between a stress event and its effect on yield



Jones, 1997



#### GREPALMA - C//PAL III

## Starting point in a containing male and female flowers

Starting point



# Stress event (e.g. drought, over-pruning or under-pruning, pest outbreak)

4-month stress event



#### GREPALMA - C//PAL III

# Very young flowers become male and some female flowers abort

4-month stress event



### 12 months later there are a number of empty fronds at pre-emergence and from Frond 31–35. No stress this year!

12 months after 4-month stress event but no stress in current year



#### GREPALMA - C//PAL III

# 24 months after starting point empty fronds detected mid-crown.

24 months after annual 4-month stress event



### Must think and plan for long term

#### Long term results from remedial action:

- Twenty five year plan (crop, field budget, capex plan).
- Plan based on reasonable price assumptions (CPO, labour, fertilizer fuel) and interest rates.
- Stress tested business model.
- Financial planning to cope with price peaks (store) and troughs (spend).
- Don't cut fertilizer!
- Investment capex related to price?
- Even James Fry struggles to predict the price accurately so don't try and guess the market!
- 'High yield producers' can weather low prices better the 'price guessers'!

### Yield Gap 4 due to poor field practices



# Verify that all field practices are being implemented correctly and cost-efficiently

- Drainage
- Ground cover management
- Pruning
- Abnormal palm removal
- Pest and disease early warning system
- Pest management
- Disease management
- Fertilizer programme implementation

# Evaluate field **costs** with reference to field **standards**

|             |      | Field upkeep standards (audits)             |                                      |                                   |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------------|------|---|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|             |      | Poo   | or                                   | Goo                               | d   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Field costs | High | Poor field sta<br>high costs.               | dards and<br>ime lags!               | Find ways to red<br>maintain high | s and high costs.<br>Ice costs and<br>standards |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| (USD/ha)    | Low  | Poor field stand<br>costs. Inves<br>improve | ards and low<br>st in field<br>ments | Good field standard<br>The ultima | and low costs.<br>te goal.                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

- Field audits required to make a formal assessment of standards.
- Compare field standards with cost data.

### Yield Gap 3 due to incorrect diagnosis



# Verify that diagnostic work is being done properly and recommendations are correct

- Fertilizer recommendations are cost effective.
- Properly designed pest and disease early warning system.
- Appropriate integrated pest management practices.
- Appropriate integrated disease management practices.
- Proper standard operating procedures compiled and issued to all staff.
- Staff trained in the correct practices.
- Staff trained in basic oil palm physiology.

### Fertilizer Planner™

- New software
- Interrogates data in OMP:
  - Leaf and soil analysis
  - Yield data
  - Field conditions
- Determines the least costly source of mineral fertilizers.
- Glass box not a black box (all assumptions declared and transparent)
- For more information, <u>agrisoft-systems.com</u>

### Yield Gap 2 due to poor planting technique



# Verify that the correct planting and replanting techniques are used

### Long term results from remedial action:

- High quality seed source.
- Excellent nursery.
- Strict culling.
- Proper land preparation (drainage, cambered beds).
- Road system.

### Yield Gap 1 due to moisture stress



### Implement water management practices

#### Long term results from remedial action:

- Measure water stress
- Soil moisture conservation (use of mill residues, platforms, terraces, frond stacking).
- Investigate cost effectiveness of irrigation.
- If water supply is sufficient, consider irrigation.

### No yield gaps --site yield potential achieved!



### Field visits, armed with the facts!

| Divisi             | on: D         | 1-S01 F           | ield                                | : De      | squi  | ite Bloo          | :k: 1           | 002                 | 2                  |       |                          |       |           |     |                         |         |        |                     |       |                |   |     |                 |        |                      |                |       |                           |        |           |           | Printeo  | d: 18- | -Sep-1 |
|--------------------|---------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------|-------|-------------------|-----------------|---------------------|--------------------|-------|--------------------------|-------|-----------|-----|-------------------------|---------|--------|---------------------|-------|----------------|---|-----|-----------------|--------|----------------------|----------------|-------|---------------------------|--------|-----------|-----------|----------|--------|--------|
| Area:              | 21.24         | ha `              | OP:                                 | 200       | 00    | mYO               | P: 7            | ,                   |                    | Pa    | m a                      | ge: 1 | 7 yr      |     | Planti                  | ng ma   | ateria | al: Av              | vros+ | -N ig          |   |     | Dens            | sity:  |                      | 143.0          | p/ha  |                           | See    | dling age | e at plai | nting:   | mt     |        |
| Land c             | lass:         | Paler             | Palenque Previous land use: Potrero |           |       |                   |                 | Land clearing: Quir |                    |       |                          |       | Quimico - |     |                         |         |        | eter:               | m     |                |   | DFH | DFH: 01/01/2004 |        |                      | : 42 1         | 42 mt |                           |        |           |           |          |        |        |
| Soil typ<br>Topogi | oe:<br>raphy: | Paler<br>Planc    | que                                 |           |       | Soil te<br>Soil a | extur<br>icidit | re (o<br>y sta      | bs.):<br>itus:     | FA    | a/Fa                     | 1     |           |     | Soil d                  | eficier | ncy s  | coring              | : N   | F              | þ | K   | N               | 1g     |                      |                |       |                           |        |           |           |          |        |        |
| Draina             | ge:           | Buen              | 0                                   |           |       | Erosi             | on:             |                     |                    | Lig   | era                      |       |           |     | Soil c                  | onsv.   | mea    | s.:                 |       |                |   |     | Soil d          | consv. | status:              |                |       |                           | Field  | d Marker  |           | Comer    | cial   |        |
| Ground             | d cover       | cover: 0          |                                     |           | Pruni | ng:               |                 |                     |                    |       |                          |       |           |     | Harvester access: Bueno |         |        |                     |       | Crop recovery: |   |     |                 |        |                      | Growth Marker: |       |                           |        | Comercial |           |          |        |        |
|                    |               | Pro               | Production data                     |           |       |                   |                 |                     | Inc                | organ | rganic fertilizer inputs |       |           |     | [kg/p]                  |         |        | Crop residues [t/ha |       |                | ] | 1   |                 |        | Leaf analysis [% DM, |                |       | mg/kg]; Deficiency scores |        |           |           |          |        |        |
| Yr Age             |               | Yie               | ld                                  | BW        |       | BN HR             |                 | Ν                   |                    | 205   | 205 K2                   |       | М         | MgO | I                       | В       |        | EFB                 | 6     | Pome           |   | Fib | r               | Ν      |                      | Р              |       | K                         |        | Mg        |           | B P      | CS P   | PH SPF |
|                    | yr            | Pot A<br>t/ha t/ł | ct G<br>na t                        | ap<br>'ha | kg    |                   | 1               | A I                 | ۲ <i>ו</i>         | A F   | R /                      | A F   | A         | R   | A                       | ١       | R      | А                   | R     | A              | R | Α   | R               | L      | Rc D                 | L              | Rc E  | ) L                       | Rc D   | L         | Rc D      | L D<br>c | m²     | m p/ha |
| 2017               | 17            | 30.0 10           | .1 -1                               | 9.9 2     | 28.0  | 3 22              | 0.4             | 41.                 | 0 0.:              | 3 0.4 | 1.0                      | 0 1.1 |           | -   | 0.016                   | 0.0     | 15     |                     |       |                |   |     |                 | 2.49   | -                    | 0.176          | 0.11  | - 0.98                    | 2.16 - | 0.29 0.   | 09 - 3    | 3 -      | 50     | 139    |
| 2016               | 16            | 30.0 26           | .6                                  | 3.4 2     | 24.9  | 8 26              | 0.2             | 2 0.                | 5 <mark>0</mark> . | 1 0.1 | 0.3                      | 3 0.9 | 0.1       | 0.1 | 0.030                   | 0.0     | 30     |                     | -     | -              | - | -   | 40              | 2.45   | 0.31                 | 0.156          | 0.08  | 0.98                      | 2.02   | 0.30      | 2         | 2        | 41     | 139    |
| 2015               | 15            | 30.0 14           | .0 -1                               | 6.0 2     | 24.7  |                   | 0.8             | Β Ο.                | 8                  | -     | - 0.0                    | 6 0.6 | 0.2       | 0.1 | 0.036                   | 0.0     | 36     |                     | -     | -              | - | 87  | -               | 2.57   | 0.39                 | 0.171          | 0.11  | 0.98                      | 1.83   | 0.32      | 1         | 2        |        |        |
| 2014               | 14            | 30.0 24           | .0                                  | 6.0       | 23.8  |                   | 1.              | 51.                 | 1 0.3              | 3     | - 0.8                    | в.    | 0.1       | -   | 0.029                   | 0.0     | 20     |                     |       |                |   |     |                 | 2.72   | 0.43                 | 0.150          | 0.10  | 0.90                      | 2.01   | 0.32      | 1         | 1        |        |        |

Complete, up-to-date history of each block's agronomic background on yield, fertilizer history, leaf analysis, vegetative growth and palms stand.



Cost of production (USD/t oil)

GREPALMA - C//PAL III

### Some conclusions.....

- Significant yield gaps in Guatemala.
- Short term goal to eliminate crop losses (Yield Gap 4).
- Longer term goal to optimize field practices (Yield Gap 3).
- Make sure nutrient and pest and disease diagnosis and recommendations are appropriate and cost effective (Yield Gap 2).
- Raise high quality seeds in an excellent nursery and prepare land properly for new plantings (Yield Gap 1).
- Planters are price takers and field practice implementers.
- Triple bottom line benefit of yield intensification.

### TCCL handbooks

- 20 box sets available at the conference.
- USD 125 per box set.

